Institute for Transport Studies



Response to George Yannis' lecture on "Distracted Driving"

Oliver Carsten Institute for Transport Studies University of Leeds UK



EU Nomadic Devices report



| iges | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | Study on the regulatory situation in the member | | | |
| Study on the regulatory situation in the member states regarding brought-in | | | | |
| | (i.e. nomadic) devices and their use in vehicles Study tendered by the European Commission | | | |
| | | | | |
| | (SMART 2009/0065) | | | |
| | Final Report | | | |
| | Authors: | | | |
| | Timmo Janitzek, Andreas Brenck (IGES Institut GmbH) Samantha Jamson, Oliver Carsten (University of Leeds) Vojtech Eksler (European Transport Safety Council) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Lead Partner: IGES Institut Gm Friedrichstraße D-10117 Berlin | 180 | | | |

It can be seen that Greece is very good at legislation:

- Bans handheld mobile phones
- Hands-free mobile phones must be used with a wireless headset
- Mobile phones must be fixed in a cradle
- Texting is prohibited
- Motorcyclists may not use any mobile phone

More Greek regulations



- No headphones connected to radios or music players may be used
- Placement of TVs and video players is regulated
- Regulations on how PNDs (Satnavs) must be mounted in the vehicle
- Setting of a PND is forbidden while the vehicle is moving
 - Penalty for improper mobile phone use is
 - a fine of €100 EUR+ three penalty on the driver's licence (out of 25 points in total)
 - Possibility of a 30 day suspension of the driver's licence
- Enforcement?
- Compliance?











Policy recommendations from the report (1)



- Media campaigns should be conducted at the member state level to provide drivers with better understanding of existing laws
- New technologies that enable nomadic device use (or certain functions of it) to be restricted or locked out in circumstances when it is unsafe to use the device (e.g. beyond certain speeds, in certain locations, etc.) could provide solutions to driver distraction
- It should be feasible to lock out or discourage texting while driving via specific software
- The issue of driver distraction should be better incorporated in driver training, education and licensing processes.



Policy recommendations from the report (2)



- Research efforts should be made to understand the role and effect of engaging in high-risk activities (such as texting) while driving, for young drivers specifically.
- A European target for reduction in the extent of distracted driving should be established and performance in achieving the target assessed.
- With regards to accident investigation, methods should be developed to enable better assessment of the role of distraction in accidents

Visual and cognitive distraction



- Some research is now claiming that hands-free mobile phone conversation is safe
- This is especially the proposition from 2 naturalistic driving studies (= observing drivers in real-world driving) by VTTI (Virginia Tech)



From the HASTE project: distraction type



Visual

• Affects steering behaviour and lateral control

Effect of Arrows on 3° Steering Reversal Rate (Leeds)



Auditory/cognitive

- "Improves" steering behaviour
- Affects longitudinal control



VTTI Studies of truck and bus driving (Olson et al., 2009; Hickman et al., 2010)



| Activity | Odds Ratio of a Safety Critical Event | |
|---|--|------------|
| | 2009 Study | 2010 Study |
| Text message on a mobile phone | 23.24* | — |
| Interact with/use a dispatching device | 9.93* | — |
| Dial mobile phone | 5.93* | 3.51* |
| Use/reach for other electronic device | 6.72* | 4.43* |
| Talk or listen to handheld phone | 1.04 | 0.89 |
| Talk or listen to hands-free phone | 0.44* | 0.65* |

Discussion of contradictory results



- How could talking on a hands-free phone be "protective"?
 - VTTI claim that conversation may have an alerting effect
 - They have also cast doubt on the validity of simulator studies
- But the problem could be with the methodology used to calculate the odd ratios in the real-world studies
 - What if the critical events and the "randomly selected" baseline epochs have different distributions in terms of:
 - Road type
 - Traffic flow
 - Link or intersection

Explanation for curious effect of auditory/cognitive task



- Increased eye focus on road straight ahead
- Probably = gazing ahead without processing
- We know that drivers tend to track in the direction of their gaze angle



EASY project: uptake of DVD task (proportion of journey time)





Man Semi Auto

Lat





Thank you! Ευχαριστώ!

